Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Robo-advising

Alberto G Rossi Georgetown University



Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Plan of the Talk

Introduction to Robo-advisors

- What is Robo-advising?
- Main features of robo-advisors
- Taxonomy of robo-advisors
- Prophysical States in the states of the s
 - for short-term—trading
 - for long-term-retirement
- 8 Roboadvising for Consumption, Saving & other Decisions
- Open Questions in Robo-advising

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Relevant Material

Robo-advising for Investment Decisions

- "Robo-advising," D'Acunto & Rossi
- "The Promises and Pitfalls of Robo-advising," D'Acunto, Prabhala & Rossi
- "Who Benefits from Robo-advising? Evidence from Machine Learning" Rossi & Utkus
- "The Needs and Wants in Financial Advice: Human vs Robo-Advising," Rossi & Utkus

Robo-advising for Consumption, Saving, Debt and Lending

- "New Frontiers of Robo-Advising: Consumption, Saving, Debt Management, and Taxes," with Francesco D'Acunto
- "Crowdsourcing Peer Information to Change Spending Behavior," D'Acunto, Rossi & Weber
- "Correcting Present Bias in Saving Decisions with FinTech" Gargano & Rossi
- "How Costly Are Cultural Biases? Evidence from FinTech" D'Acunto, Ghosh & Rossi
- "Improving Households' Debt Management with Robo-advising" D'Acunto et. al.

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

What is Robo-advising?

Robo-advising is

- Generated by a computer algorithm
- 2 Tailored to clients' characteristics
- Easy to implement Automatic execution, Financial education

Unbiased advice delivered electronically is rarely followed (Bhattacharya et al., 2012):

"You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make it drink!"

Robo-advising: middle ground btw no-intervention & nudges

Open challenges o

Why are Robo-advisors Important?

- Most investors are not financially savvy
- Traditional Financial Advisers could help, but they
 - are expensive
 - generally ineffective (Linnainmaa, Melzer, and Previtero, 2016)
 - they cater mainly to wealthier individuals
- Scope to
 - improve the effectiveness of financial advice
 - increase the number of people who receive advice

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Advantages and Disadvantage of Robo-advisors over Traditional Advisors

Advantages. Robo-advisors can

- offer financial advice for low fees
- serve individuals with any level of wealth
- be monitored and improved over time
- their decisions can be explained to investors and regulators

Disadvantages:

- many potential clients are algorithmic-averse
- e many algorithms do not work very well

 Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Robo-advising in the Asset Management Space (US)

Robo-Advisors: Investing Through Machines, WB 2019

Figure 1. Projected Assets Managed by Robo-Advisors in the United States, 2018-23



Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Robo-advising in the Asset Management Space (US)

Robo-Advisors: Investing Through Machines, WB 2019

Figure 2. Largest Robo-Advisors in the United States, 2018



Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Robo-advising in the Asset Management Space (US)



Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Are All Robo-advisors Created Equal?

We can classify robo-advisors along four dimensions

- Personalization of the advice (Target Date Funds as most primitive form of robo-advising)
- Involvement of the investor in financial plans and choices (Robo-advisors versus robo-managers)
- Investors' discretion to deviate from the automated advice (Libertarianism versus libertarian paternalism)
- The presence of any form of human interaction (Pure robo-advisors versus hybrid robo-advisors)

(D'Acunto and Rossi, 2020)

Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

2 Cases Under the Microscope

- Case 1. Robo-advisor from anonymous Indian Brokerage (D'Acunto, Prabhala and Rossi, 2019)
- Case 2. Vanguard's Personal Advisor Services (PAS) (Rossi and Utkus, 2020)

Very different approaches with substantially different results

Case 1. Robo-advising Tool: Portfolio Optimizer

Similar to Portfolio Visualizer of Silicon Cloud Technologies (US)

Main Characteristics (some undesirable):

- Markowitz mean-variance portfolio optimization
- 3 years of data to compute variance-covariance matrix
- Existing stocks + up to 15 large stocks
- Imposes short-sales constraints, uses shrinkage
- All suggested trades can be executed in batch mode

Portfolio optimizer data contain:

- Time-stamp of usage by the investor
- Portfolio weights of the investor at the time of usage

Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 1. Robo-advisor Design (Link)



Open challenges o

Case 1. Conclusions from this Work

Robo-advising: different effects on different types of investors

For *under-diversified* investors, access to robo-advice:

- Increases diversification, reduces portfolio volatility
- Increases investor attention to their portfolio
- Improves portfolio performance

For *already diversified* investors, access to robo-advice:

- No change, or reduction in the number of stocks held
- Increases number of trades and fees paid, but not performance

Everybody enjoys lower incidence of behavioral biases

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. Setting

Vanguard's Personal Advisor Services (PAS)

- largest hybrid robo-advisor in the world
- \$120B under management
- explosive growth since inception

Key Characteristics of PAS:

- Very different from the indian robo-advisor
 - For long-term portfolio allocation
 - Based mainly on mutual funds—now ETFs.
 - Allows little consumer discretion

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. Key Features of PAS

At sign-up, investors are profiled on

- financial objectives
- risk-tolerance
- investment horizons
- demographic characteristics

Investors are then proposed a comprehensive financial plan, i.e.,

- cash flow forecast
- probability of financing a secure retirement
- recommended portfolio strategy

Before approval, clients interact with human who explains the plan

After approval, PAS trades automatically and rebalances quarterly

Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. Main findings

Large effects on portfolio indexation & international diversification



Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. PAS & Performance Changes

Sharpe_{i,t} =
$$\alpha_i + \beta_t + \sum_{j=-5}^{35} \gamma_j \text{ ROBO}_{i,j,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$



Large effects on performance for already diversified investors

Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. Attention and Robo-advising





Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. Interaction with Human Advisors



Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Case 2. Attrition



(a) Level 3; Level 2; Level 1

Case 2. Conclusions from this Research

- ETF-based robo-advisors can improve portfolio allocations of already diversified investors
- Simple forms of robo-advice can be successful
- Communicating value of advice is difficult for robo-advisors
- Significant attrition
- Forms of hybrid robo-advising are more expensive, but retain more customers
- Significant benefits unrelated to financial performance

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges

Common Perception of Robo-advising

Robo-advising = automated advice for portfolio allocation



III PERSONAL CAPITAL

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Balance-Sheet View of Households

BUT individuals decisions are more complex!



(D'Acunto and Rossi, 2021)

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Balance-Sheet View of Households

Significant advances along several areas.

Examples:

- Robo-advising and the consumption-saving choice
- Robo-advising and borrowing decisions
- Robo-advising and P2P lending investments

Robo-advising and the consumption-saving choice

Difficult to determine the optimal consumption and spending

Even for expert economists!

Solutions implemented. Use big data and robo-advice to:

- Provide balance-sheet view of household (Olafsson and Pagel, 2018, 2019; Baker, 2016)
- Provide understandable rules of thumb (D'Acunto, Rossi and Weber, 2019; D'Acunto et al, 2020)
- Provide motivation and reinforcement (Gargano and Rossi, 2020)

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Robo-advising and the consumption-saving choice-I

Use big data to construct spending of peers as benchmarks

You 🥖	Your Peers 9.9K people
Age	Age Range
42	40 - 49
Income	Income Range
\$140K	\$100K - \$150K
Location	Location
New York, NY	New York, NY
Location Type Urban	Location Type
Credit Score	Credit Score Range
769	720 – 779
Housing Type Pay Rent	Housing Type Pay Rent

(D'Acunto, Rossi and Weber, 2019)

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Robo-advising and the consumption-saving choice-II

Provide motivation and reinforcement using goal-setting



(Gargano and Rossi, 2020)

Robo-advising and borrowing decisions

Major problem for a large part of the population:

- Excessive debt
- High interest rates (Credit cards, payday loans)
- Difficult to optimize debt repayment
- Difficult to provide financial literacy effectively

Robo-advisors for managing debt repayment can be a solution (D'Acunto et al., 2020)

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

Robo-advising and borrowing decisions

-		Debt Repayments				
	Balance	Interest rate	Minimum payment	Fee for missed minimum payment	This month I will pay off	
Overdraft	£506.45	32.9% APR	£0.00	£0		
Bank loan	£1,658.10	71.9% APR	£204.15	£25		1.00
	£898.16	31.5% APR	£20.21	£12		1.000
Credit card		8.5% APR	£99.87	£50		
Credit card Bank loan	£1,012.50					
	£1,012.50 £318.27	44.9% APR	£7.16	£12		
Bank loan Credit card			£7.16	£12		
Bank loan Credit card	£318.27		£7.16	£12	Confirm	13
Bank loan Credit card	£318.27		£7.16	£12	Confirm	E

(D'Acunto et al., 2020)

Robo-advising and P2P lending investments

P2P lending could not a viable asset class for small investors

- High default rates
- Difficult to make diversified investment decisions
- Difficult to monitor the investment decisions

Automated algorithms can help individuals make P2P decisions (Manconi et al, 2020; D'Acunto, Ghosh, and Rossi, 2020)

Other Financial Decisions

Robo-advising and P2P lending investments



(D'Acunto, Ghosh, and Rossi, 2020)

Investment Decisions

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

New frontier of robo-advising: the holistic investor view

"Robo advisers have great potential but the technology is still immature; they're the rotary phones to today's iPhone." Andrew Lo

Other Financial Decisions

Open challenges o

New frontier of robo-advising: the holistic investor view

"Robo advisers have great potential but the technology is still immature; they're the rotary phones to today's iPhone." Andrew Lo

PEFIN: First AI-based Financial Advisor. Not sure if realistic yet



Open challenges for the future of robo-advising

• Can separate robo-advisors be integrated into a holistic one?

• Algorithmic aversion: Is hybrid Robo-Advising a solution?

• Will robots democratize financial advice or exacerbate Inequalities?

What are the systemic implications of Robo-advisors?